

The Blackbody Radiation Laws in the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ Spacetime

Ramaton Ramos,^a and Henrique Boschi-Filho^b

^a*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, Urca, RJ 22290-180 – Brazil*

^b*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 68528, RJ 21941-972 – Brazil*

E-mail: ramaton@gmail.com, boschi@if.ufrj.br

ABSTRACT: In the footsteps of our previous work [1] we generalize the Stefan-Boltzmann and Wien's displacement laws for the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ spacetime, the background of the AdS/CFT correspondence foremost realization. Our results take into account the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ full dimensionality in the electromagnetic field A^μ wave equation, which yields the higher-dimensional blackbody characteristic features suggested in literature. In particular, the total radiated power and the spectral radiancy match the original Stefan-Boltzmann and Wien's displacement laws in the low-energy regime up to available experimental data.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Blackbody and our Approach	2
3	$\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^5$ brane scenario	3
4	The $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ scenario	6
5	Bounds on the conformal coordinate values and Graphs	9
6	Conclusion and discussions	10

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT Correspondence proposed by Maldacena in 1997 relates, in its most notable instance, an effective five-dimensional AdS_5 gravitational theory (via type IIB string theory) to a four-dimensional Conformal Field Theory ($N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory) on its boundary [2], being the subject of interesting contemporary physical unfoldings [3–16].

The duality expressed in this correspondence is the most successful realization of the Holographic Principle [17–20], which enables to “translate” certain problems in nuclear and condensed matter physics into more tractable ones in the string theory picture [21–25]. Albeit the theories involved are not viable models of the real world, they provide model-building tools for physics beyond the standard model and some of their properties elucidate important questions, such as the quantum behavior of black holes [26–33].

Anti-de Sitter space is the maximally symmetric Einstein equations solution with negative (attractive) cosmological constant. In our universe, this constant is certainly not attractive, yet it can be regarded as a long-distance regularization of gravity behavior. AdS_5 space notable feature relates to its boundary, which looks locally around each of its points like our well-known Minkowski $\mathcal{M}^{1,3}$ spacetime, labeled from now on as $\mathcal{M}$ unless otherwise specified. The five additional “compact” dimensions, $\mathcal{S}^5$ subspace, come as a dimensional requirement for String Theory mathematical consistency.

Blackbody radiation is described by Planck’s law, which implies its radiative features. In particular, the Stefan-Boltzmann law predicts that the blackbody radiated power is $R(T) = \sigma_B T^4$, with σ_B as the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, while the Wien’s displacement law relates the blackbody temperature T to the wavelength λ_m corresponding to the wavelength radiance $R(T, \lambda)$ peak, $\lambda_m T = 2.897 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m K}$.

These laws shall depend on the spacetime dimensionality [36, 37], in contrast with observed data. Our results preserve the well-known blackbody radiation laws in the low-temperature regime while obtaining the higher-dimensional theoretical relations in the high-temperature regime, explicitly establishing a connection between the current theoretical unfoldings and our well-acquainted 4-dimensional experience.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2 we lay the blackbody concept and briefly introduce our approach. In Section 3 we single the Minkowski slice out of the AdS_5 space and generalize the Stefan-Boltzmann and Wien's laws for the specific $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^5$ scenario. Similar steps are taken in section 4 towards the full $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ spacetime generalizations. In section 5 we set experimental bounds on the AdS_5 conformal coordinate, plotting our main results for these bounds. The final section is devoted to closing remarks and general comments.

2 The Blackbody and our Approach

A blackbody is defined as a body with a rich energy spectrum, capable of exciting all frequencies of light by thermalization. As consequence, all blackbodies at the same temperature emit thermal radiation with the same spectrum. For a current review on the matter, see [38].

The standard textbook blackbody approach consists in taking a small bidimensional orifice connecting an isothermal enclosure to its outside as a blackbody surface, a more technical and conceptual discussion on approximating a blackbody for a blackbox is found in [39, 40].

Here, we look for the electromagnetic wave equation solution which fills the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ spacetime, where boundary conditions are assumed, in order to obtain the radiated spectrum. The general procedure employed in this work is analogous to that of [1].

The $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ spacetime metric can be written in the form

$$ds^2 = R^2 \left[z^{-2} (dx^\mu dx_\mu + dz^2) + d\Omega_5^2 \right], \quad (2.1)$$

and the wave equation to be satisfied by the electromagnetic field A^μ for a generic metric g_{ab} is

$$(-g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_a \left[(-g)^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{ab} \partial_b A^\mu \right] = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where the a and b indices run through all considered dimensions while we keep the μ indices for the Lorentzian polarizable ones.

Making use of Bose-Einstein statistical prescription and accounting two helicity states related to the propagative aspect of the photons associated to the electromagnetic waves, the blackbody radiation energy density at temperature T is

$$\rho(T) = \frac{2}{V} \sum_i \frac{h\nu_i}{e^{h\nu_i/kT} - 1}, \quad (2.3)$$

where V is taken as the ordinary 3-dimensional volume, since this is our usual framework and the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ metric is scale invariant.

The spatial boundary conditions determine the photons energy states $h\nu_i$, and the energy density is proportional to the radiancy $R(T)$, the energy rate per unit area, through a geometric speed-of-light factor,

$$R(T) = \frac{c}{4} \rho(T) . \quad (2.4)$$

3 $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^5$ brane scenario

The present $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^5$ setup, in which the conformal coordinate $z = \text{const}$, has effective metric

$$ds'^2 = dx^\mu dx_\mu + z^2 d\Omega_5^2, \quad (3.1)$$

which means that the electromagnetic field fulfills

$$\left[\partial^\nu \partial_\nu + \frac{1}{z^2} \Delta_{\mathcal{S}^5} \right] A^\mu(x, \Theta) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{S}^n}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathcal{S}^n$ with the particular property

$$[\Delta_{\mathcal{S}^n} + l(l+n-1)] Y_l(\Theta) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

and $Y_l(\Theta) = Y_{l_n \dots l_1}(\theta_n, \dots, \theta_1)$ are the spherical harmonics in higher dimensions, with $l \doteq l_n$. Since $l_5 \geq l_4 \geq l_3 \geq l_2 \geq |l_1| \geq 0$, for each $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, one has

$$d_l = \frac{1}{4!} (l+1)(l+2)(l+3)(2l+4) \quad (3.4)$$

associated degeneracies.

Solution of (3.2) is given by variable separation, $\mathbf{A}^\mu$ indicates the field polarization, thus

$$A^\mu(x, \Theta) = \mathbf{A}^\mu e^{ik \cdot x} Y_l(\Theta), \quad (3.5)$$

from which we obtain, by Dirichlet boundary conditions,

$$\left(\frac{2\pi\nu}{c} \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{L_i} \right)^2 + \frac{l(l+4)}{z^2}. \quad (3.6)$$

where L_i are the usual three-dimensional coordinate lengths and $n_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Since the $\mathcal{M}$ spatial and $\mathcal{S}^5$ contributions may not be on the same foot, particularly for $z \ll L_i$, eq.(2.4) is better written via (2.3) as

$$R(T) = \frac{c}{2V} \left[\sum_{l=0} + \sum_{l \neq 0} \right] \frac{h\nu_{il}}{e^{h\nu_{il}/kT} - 1}. \quad (3.7)$$

The first sum is a single one in n_i . Taking it by an integral and considering $\nu_0 \equiv \nu(n_i, 0)$ yields

$$R_0(T) \doteq \frac{c}{2V} \sum_{l=0} \frac{h\nu_0}{e^{h\nu_0/kT} - 1} = \int_0^\infty R_0(T, \nu_0) d\nu_0 \quad (3.8)$$

where the spectral radiancy $R_0(T, \nu_0)$ for this range of modes is

$$R_0(T, \nu_0) = \frac{2\pi h}{c^2} \frac{\nu_0^3}{e^{h\nu_0/kT} - 1} . \quad (3.9)$$

Making the variable change $y_0 = h\nu_0/kT$ one integrates (3.9) arriving at

$$R_0(T) = \frac{2\pi c}{(hc)^3} (kT)^4 \int_0^\infty \frac{y_0^3}{e^{y_0} - 1} dy_0 , \quad (3.10)$$

with the integral expressed by the mathematical identity

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^d}{e^x - 1} dx = \Gamma(d+1) \zeta(d+1) . \quad (3.11)$$

Taking $d = 3$ in the above identity, one obtains the ordinary radiancy contribution

$$R_0(T) = \sigma_B T^4 , \quad (3.12)$$

which is the well-known Stephan-Boltzmann law with

$$\sigma_B = \frac{2\pi^5 kc}{15} \left(\frac{k}{hc} \right)^3 = 5.67 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-4} . \quad (3.13)$$

Now we consider the case $l \neq 0$ in which both n_i and l contribute to the spectral radiancy. Taking the sum with $\nu \equiv \nu(n_i, l)$, one gets

$$R_l(T) \doteq \frac{c}{2V} \sum_{l \neq 0} d_l \frac{h\nu}{e^{h\nu/kT} - 1} . \quad (3.14)$$

Note that the d_l degeneracies given by eq.(3.4) are related to the frequencies through eq.(3.6). Considering the mean values of this dispersion relation we get

$$\left\langle \frac{l(l+4)}{z^2} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2\pi\nu}{c} \right)^2 , \quad (3.15)$$

which for high l values allows the substitution $d_l \rightarrow d_l(\nu)$ with $l = \pi z\nu/c$. Then, taking the sum by an integral and considering just the $d_l(\nu)$ leading order contribution one gets

$$R_l(T) = \int_0^\infty R_l(T, \nu) d\nu , \quad (3.16)$$

where the corresponding spectral radiancy is given by

$$R_l(T, \nu) = \frac{2}{4!} \left(\frac{\pi z\nu}{c} \right)^4 \frac{\Omega_{(4)} \pi z hc}{2 c^4} \frac{\nu^4}{e^{h\nu/kT} - 1} , \quad (3.17)$$

and $\Omega_{(d)} = 2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2)$ is the solid angle in a d -dimensional space.

Through the variable change $y = h\nu/kT$ one gets

$$R_l(T) = \frac{\Omega_{(4)}}{3} \frac{hc(\pi z)^5}{8 c^8} \left(\frac{kT}{h} \right)^9 \int_0^\infty \frac{y^8}{e^y - 1} dy , \quad (3.18)$$

and using (3.11) the radiancy contribution $R_l(T)$ due to these modes is

$$R_l(T) = \sigma_l T^9, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\sigma_l = \frac{\Omega_{(4)} k c}{24} \left(\frac{k}{h c} \right)^8 \Gamma(9) \zeta(9) (\pi z)^5. \quad (3.20)$$

Grouping the computed radiancy contributions one gets for the total blackbody energy rate per unit area

$$R(T) = \sigma_B T^4 + \sigma_l T^9, \quad (3.21)$$

which is interpreted as the generalized Stefan-Boltzmann law for the $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^5$ subspace.

The nature and validity of the preceding outcome is closely related to the temperature of the blackbody in question. For low temperatures the obtained Stefan-Boltzmann law generalization (3.21) reduces to its well-known form (3.12).

On the other side, for sufficiently high temperatures, $T \gg hc/kz$, all considered dimensions match up on equal footing from the perspective of the actual irradiative process. Then, the generalized Stefan-Boltzmann law takes on its higher-dimensional character $R(T) = \sigma_l T^9$, which agrees with the results in [36, 37].

To obtain the respective Wien's law we write the total wavelength radiancy as

$$R(T, \lambda) = R_0(T, \lambda) + R_l(T, \lambda), \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$R_0(T, \lambda) = \frac{2\pi h c^2}{\lambda^5} (e^{\frac{hc}{kT\lambda}} - 1)^{-1}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$R_l(T, \lambda) = \frac{\Omega_{(4)} h c^2}{24 \lambda^{10}} (\pi z)^5 (e^{\frac{hc}{kT\lambda}} - 1)^{-1}. \quad (3.24)$$

Since for a given T there is a λ_m for which $R(T, \lambda_m)$ is maximum, through $dR(T, \lambda)/d\lambda = 0$ one obtains

$$1 - e^{-hc/kT\lambda_m} = \frac{hc}{kT\lambda_m} \frac{1 + \epsilon_l(z, \lambda_m)}{5 + 10 \epsilon_l(z, \lambda_m)}, \quad (3.25)$$

where $\epsilon_l(z, \lambda) = R_l(T, \lambda)/R_0(T, \lambda)$ is defined as the corresponding *wavelength radiancy relative deviation*,

$$\epsilon_l(z, \lambda) = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\pi z}{2\lambda} \right)^5. \quad (3.26)$$

Eq.(3.25) is regarded as the generalized Wien's law for $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^5$ subspace, once it relates the blackbody temperature T with the wavelength λ_m corresponding to the maximum value of the total wavelength radiancy $R(T, \lambda)$.

For wavelengths much larger than the conformal coordinate value, $\lambda_m \gg z$, Eq. (3.25) reduces to $1 - e^{-x_4} = x_4/5$ ($x_4 \equiv hc/kT\lambda_m$), which implies the usual Wien's displacement law, namely $\lambda_m T = 2.897 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m K}$.

On the opposite limit, for $\lambda_m \ll z$, Eq.(3.25) reduces to

$$1 - e^{-x_9} = \frac{1}{10} x_9 \quad ; \quad x_9 \equiv \frac{hc}{kT\lambda_m} \quad (3.27)$$

where $x_D = W(-(D+1)e^{-(D+1)}) + D+1$, and $W(y)$ is the Lambert function. This yields $\lambda_m T = x_9 \hbar c/k$ and the generalized Wien's displacement law assumes its higher-dimensional behavior.

4 The $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ scenario

For the present case in which our spacetime is the full $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$, the considered electromagnetic fields must satisfy the equation

$$\left[\partial_z^2 - \frac{3}{z} \partial_z + \partial^\nu \partial_\nu + \frac{1}{z^2} \Delta_{\mathcal{S}^5} \right] A^\mu(x, \theta_i, z) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

whose solution is

$$A^\mu(x, \Theta, z) = \mathbf{A}^\mu e^{ik \cdot x} Y_l(\Theta) Z(z), \quad (4.2)$$

such that the conformal dependence is

$$Z(z) = z^2 (c_1 J_{l+2}(\kappa z) + c_2 N_{l+2}(\kappa z)), \quad (4.3)$$

where $J_m(x)$ and $N_m(x)$ are respectively the Bessel and Neumann functions of order m .

The above pair of functions emerge as radial solutions to the Helmholtz and Laplace's equations in cylindrical coordinates, thus sharing similar properties. They are quite important for many problems, like the ones involving electromagnetic waves in cylindrical waveguides and frequency-dependent friction in circular pipelines.

For integer m and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $J_m(x)$ is finite at the origin $x = 0$ while $N_m(x)$ is not. Several properties of the Bessel (and Neumann) function are derivable from the following remarkable definition,

$$J_m(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(x \sin \tau - m\tau) d\tau. \quad (4.4)$$

Alternatively, one can work with its Taylor series expansion, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$J_\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2k + \alpha}. \quad (4.5)$$

While the Neumann function is suitably described by

$$N_\alpha(x) = \frac{J_\alpha(x) \cos(\alpha\pi) - J_{-\alpha}(x)}{\sin(\alpha\pi)}, \quad (4.6)$$

valid for integer α as a limiting case.

Our motivation behind the introduction of boundary conditions in the present setup is the Randall-Sundrum model [34] (with its subsequent modifications such as [35], where bulk fields are considered) for which the space is an orbifold consisting of two glued portions of the AdS_5 . To assure continuity of fields in its bulk one is led to Neumann boundary conditions at its two interfaces.

Our choice for the Dirichlet boundary conditions at these interfaces is feasible since we are going to sum over an infinite tower of modes and the difference between these

two boundary conditions affect only the shape of the considered modes, but not their corresponding wavelengths.

Setting a and b as the boundary conformal coordinates for which (4.3) matches Dirichlet conditions, $Z(a) = Z(b) = 0$, determines the possible κ values that will fit into the spectral relation derived from (4.1) and (4.2),

$$\frac{(2\pi\nu)^2}{c^2} = \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{L_i} \right)^2 + \kappa^2. \quad (4.7)$$

The equations concerning the Dirichlet conditions on the conformal coordinates a and b

$$c_1 J_{l+2}(\kappa a) + c_2 N_{l+2}(\kappa a) = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

$$c_1 J_{l+2}(\kappa b) + c_2 N_{l+2}(\kappa b) = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

have non-trivial solution only if the determinant

$$J_{l+2}(\kappa a) N_{l+2}(\kappa b) - J_{l+2}(\kappa b) N_{l+2}(\kappa a) = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

vanishes, thus providing the values for κ . They are hard to calculate, though. So we abdicate the formal treatment in favor of a more useful approach.

The graphs of these cylindrical $J_m(x)$ and $N_m(x)$ functions look roughly like oscillating sine or cosine decaying functions, with their roots asymptotically (large x) periodic. In fact, for sufficiently high argument values

$$J_{l+2}(\kappa z) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \kappa z}} \cos \left(\kappa z - \frac{\pi}{4}(2l+5) \right), \quad (4.11)$$

$$N_{l+2}(\kappa z) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \kappa z}} \sin \left(\kappa z - \frac{\pi}{4}(2l+5) \right), \quad (4.12)$$

so we can reason that

$$\kappa(b-a) \approx \eta \pi, \quad \eta = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.13)$$

Then for each value of η there are $\delta \approx 2a\eta/(b-a)$ possible values for l , which grants D_η degeneracies due to the possible l_j values,

$$D_\eta = \sum_{l=0}^{\delta} d_l = \frac{1}{5!}(\delta+1)(\delta+2)(\delta+3)(\delta+4)(2\delta+5). \quad (4.14)$$

Analogously, since the $\mathcal{M}$ spatial and the AdS_5 conformal contributions are not necessarily of the same order of magnitude, one has

$$R(T) = \frac{c}{2V} \left[\sum_{\eta=0} + \sum_{\eta \neq 0} \right] \frac{h\nu_{i\eta}}{e^{h\nu_{i\eta}/kT} - 1}. \quad (4.15)$$

Working out eq.(4.15) for $\eta = 0$ leads to the known blackbody relations, while the $\eta \neq 0$ contribution gives

$$R_\eta(T) \doteq \frac{c}{2V} \sum_{\eta \neq 0} \frac{D_\eta h\nu}{e^{h\nu/kT} - 1} \quad (4.16)$$

Note that the D_η degeneracies given by eq.(4.14) are related to the frequencies through eq.(4.7). Considering the mean values of this dispersion relation we get

$$\langle \kappa^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2\pi\nu}{c} \right)^2, \quad (4.17)$$

which allows the substitution $D_\eta \rightarrow D_\eta(\nu)$ with $\kappa = \pi\nu/c$. Then, taking the sum by an integral and considering just the $D_\eta(\nu)$ leading order contribution one gets

$$R_\eta(T) = \int_0^\infty R_\eta(T, \nu) d\nu \quad (4.18)$$

with the corresponding spectral radiancy given by

$$R_\eta(T, \nu) = \frac{2}{5!} \left(\frac{2a\nu}{c} \right)^5 \frac{\Omega_{(4)} hc}{2c^4} (b-a) \frac{\nu^4}{e^{h\nu/kT} - 1}. \quad (4.19)$$

Through the variable change $y = h\nu/kT$ one gets

$$R_\eta(T) = \frac{\Omega_{(4)} hc (2a)^6}{2 \cdot 5! c^9} \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{kT}{h} \right)^{10} \int_0^\infty \frac{y^9}{e^y - 1} dy, \quad (4.20)$$

and the radiancy contribution due to these modes is

$$R_\eta(T) = \sigma_\eta T^{10}, \quad (4.21)$$

$$\sigma_\eta = \frac{\Omega_{(4)} kc}{2 \cdot 5!} \left(\frac{k}{hc} \right)^9 \Gamma(10) \zeta(10) (2a)^6 \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right). \quad (4.22)$$

Thus, the total blackbody energy rate per unit area is

$$R(T) = \sigma_B T^4 + \sigma_\eta T^{10}, \quad (4.23)$$

which is understood as the generalized Stefan-Boltzmann law for the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ spacetime.

As before, for low temperatures the above generalization reduces to the well-known Stefan-Boltzmann law, while for sufficiently high temperatures the generalized Stefan-Boltzmann law takes on its higher-dimensional character $R(T) = \sigma_\eta T^{10}$, in agreement with [36, 37].

The generalized Wien's law for the full $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ is deduced the same way as before. For a given temperature T there is a λ_m for which $R(T, \lambda_m)$ is maximum, namely

$$1 - e^{-hc/kT\lambda_m} = \frac{hc}{kT\lambda_m} \frac{1 + \epsilon_\eta(\lambda_m)}{5 + 11\epsilon_\eta(\lambda_m)}, \quad (4.24)$$

where $\epsilon_\eta(\lambda) = R_\eta(T, \lambda)/R_4(T, \lambda)$ is the respective *wavelength radiancy relative deviation*

$$\epsilon_\eta(\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{2 \cdot 5!} \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{2a}{\lambda} \right)^6. \quad (4.25)$$

Then, for large wavelengths one gets out of (4.24) the usual Wien's displacement law, while for small wavelengths it reduces to its higher-dimensional behavior.

$$1 - e^{-x_{10}} = \frac{x_{10}}{11} \quad ; \quad x_{10} \equiv \frac{hc}{kT\lambda_m}. \quad (4.26)$$

5 Bounds on the conformal coordinate values and Graphs

According to precise measurements [41–45], the *wavelength radiancy relative deviation*, $\epsilon_{l,\eta}(\lambda)$, shall be no greater than 1% $\sim$ 2% for $\lambda^\ell = 250$ nm at $T \approx 3000$ K. Which sets the upper bound on the conformal coordinate of the $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^5$ scenario at $z_M \approx 50$ nm, with similar limits for a and b on the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ spacetime.

The obtained Stefan-Boltzmann law generalizations (3.21) and (4.23) are plotted in fig.(1) and compared with the standard prediction. For the considered bounds on z , a and b the discrepancy between these functions is just noticeable for $T > 10^4$ K.




Figure 1. Comparison between the generalized and conventional Stefan-Boltzmann laws for a maximum deviation $\epsilon_{l,\eta}(\lambda^\ell)$ of 1%.

The generalized Wien’s laws are confronted with the habitual prediction in fig.(2). The graph depicts a clear higher-dimensional signature for $\lambda_m < \lambda^\ell$, where (3.25) and (4.24) departure from the usual behavior.




Figure 2. Comparison between the generalized and usual Wien’s displacement laws, for a maximum deviation $\epsilon_{l,\eta}(\lambda^\ell) = 1\%$.




Figure 3. $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ wavelength radiancy compared with the usual $\mathcal{M}$ spectrum for $\epsilon_{l,\eta}(\lambda^\ell) = 1\%$ and $T = 17,75 \cdot 10^3 \text{K}$.

The generalized $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ Wien's law discloses a remarkable behavior on its temperature transition range. Its wavelength radiancy displayed in fig.(3) has two distinct maxima separated by a minimum. This feature is accentuated in the temperature transition range for smaller conformal coordinate values.

6 Conclusion and discussions

In this work we generalize the Blackbody Radiation Laws for the compelling $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ spacetime. The temperature for which deviations in the blackbody radiation becomes relevant is inversely proportional to the conformal coordinate values, (3.21) and (4.23), while the wavelength for which deviations in the blackbody spectrum becomes important is directly proportional to them, as noted in (3.26) and (4.25).

The additive aspect of the generalized Stefan-Boltzmann (3.21) (4.23) and Wien's displacement (3.25) (4.24) laws traces back to the temperature induced split structure of (3.7) and (4.15), the key conceptual point of our approach [1]. Thus the blackbody temperature sets up how the spacetime takes part on its radiative features.

Our approach yields the suitable higher-dimensional blackbody features in the high-energy regime and is also compatible with our empirically presumed 4-dimensional spacetime, once it reproduces the observed Stefan-Boltzmann and Wien's displacement law in our ordinary energy scale. In the low energy scale, it is seen that $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ (bulk) spacetime as well as its Minkowskian (brane) subspace $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^5$ behave effectively as an $\mathcal{M}$ manifold, while in the high energy scale they behave effectively as $\mathcal{M}^{1,9}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{1,8}$ respectively.

Regarding the AdS/CFT correspondence, we would like to say a few words. It is expected a relation between normalizable $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathcal{S}^5$ bulk fields and Yang-Mills (YM) boundary operators. Since these are vectorial bulk fields (spin 1) the corresponding YM operators should have the same spin. So, these operators represent spin 1 glueballs. It is important to mention that since we imposed boundary conditions on the bulk fields at $z = a$ and $z = b$, with $0 < a < b < \infty$, the original conformal symmetry of the CFT theory

is broken, at least in this low energy regime. The high energy phase is obtained considering the limits $a \rightarrow 0$ and $b \rightarrow \infty$, in which the conformal symmetry is restored.

Acknowledgments

The authors are partially supported by the Brazilian agency CNPq.

References

- [1] R.Ramos and H.Boschi-Filho, *Physica A*, **393** (2014) 261.
- [2] J. M. Maldacena, *Int.J.Theor.Phys.* 38 (1999) 1113-1133, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* 2 (1998) 231-252.
- [3] Itzhaki, N., Maldacena, J. M., Sonnenschein, J. and Yankielowicz, S. *Phys.Rev.D* 58 (1998) 046004
- [4] Maldacena, Juan M., *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 80 (1998) 4859-4862.
- [5] S.S. Gubser and I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Physics Letters B*, 428, 12 (1998) 105 - 114.
- [6] Edward Witten, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* 2 (1998) 505-532
- [7] Igor R. Klebanov and Edward Witten, *Nucl.Phys. B*556 (1999) 89-114
- [8] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, *Phys.Rept.* 323, 183-386 (2000)
- [9] Hawking, S., Maldacena, J. M. and Strominger, A., *JHEP* 0105 (2001) 001.
- [10] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov *Nucl.Phys. B* 636 (2002) 99-114
- [11] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 96 (2006) 181602
- [12] R. C. Brower, J. Polchinski, M. J. Strassler, C.-I Tan, *JHEP* 0712 (2007) 005
- [13] S. Bhattacharyya , V. E. Hubeny , S. Minwalla , M. Rangamani, *JHEP* 0802 (2008) 045
- [14] H. Lin, O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, *JHEP* **0410** (2004) 025
- [15] D. Berenstein, *JHEP* **0601** (2006) 125
- [16] Gaiotto, D. and Maldacena, J. M., *JHEP* 1210 (2012) 189.
- [17] Susskind, L., *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 36, 11 (1995) 63776396.
- [18] E. Witten, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 2, 253 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].
- [19] Susskind, Leonard and Witten, Edward, arXiv hep-th/9805114 (1998).
- [20] Bousso, R., *Rev.Mod.Phys.* 74, 3 (2002) 825874.
- [21] Herzog, C. P., *J.Phys.A Math. Theor.* 42 (2009) 343001.
- [22] Alday, L. F. and Maldacena, J. M., *JHEP* 0706 (2007) 064
- [23] Christopher P. Herzog et al, *JHEP* 0607 (2006) 013
- [24] Joshua Erlich, Emanuel Katz, Dam T. Son, Mikhail A. Stephanov, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 95 (2005) 261602
- [25] G. Policastro, Dan T. Son, Andrei O. Starinets *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 87 (2001) 081601

- [26] Horowitz, G. T. and Maldacena, J. M. and Strominger, A., Phys.Lett.B 383 (1996) 151-159
- [27] Maldacena, J. M. and Strominger, A., Phys.Rev.Lett. 77 (1996) 428-429.
- [28] Horowitz, G. T., Lowe, D. A. and Maldacena, J. M., Phys.Rev.Lett. 77 (1996) 430-433
- [29] Maldacena, J. M. and Strominger, A., Phys.Rev.D 56 (1997) 4975-4983
- [30] Maldacena, J. M. and Strominger, A., JHEP 9812 (1998) 005.
- [31] Maldacena, J. M., JHEP 0304 (2003) 021.
- [32] Horowitz, G. T. and Maldacena, J. M., JHEP 0402 (2004) 008.
- [33] Maldacena, J. M., Science, 6186, 344 (2014) 806-807.
- [34] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999); *ibid.* 4690 (1999).
- [35] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 107505 (1999) [hep-ph/9907218].
- [36] H. Alnes, F. Ravndal and I. K. Wehus, J. Phys. A **40**, 14309 (2007).
- [37] T. R. Cardoso and A. S. de Castro, Rev. Bras. Ens. Fis. **27**, 559 (2005).
- [38] R. Lehoucq, Eur. J. Phys. **32** (2011) 14951514.
- [39] M. Smerlak, Eur. J. Phys. **32** (2011) 11431153.
- [40] A M Garcia-Garcia, Phys. Rev. A **78** 023806 (2008).
- [41] Mohr, Peter J. *et. al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, **4**, 1527-1605.
- [42] V I Sapritsky, Metrologia, **32** (1995) 411-417.
- [43] H W Yoon and C E Gibson, Metrologia, **37** (2000) 429-432.
- [44] R Friedrich and J Fischer, Metrologia, **37** (2000) 539-542.
- [45] H W Yoon, C E Gibson and P Y Barnes, Metrologia, **40** (2003) S172-S176.